. Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/081,319	KAPILA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Daniel S. Metzmaier	1712
All Participants:	Status of Application	: <u>Amended</u>
(1) <u>Daniel S. Metzmaier</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Dan Cleveland, Jr.</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 April 2004	Time: approximately 0	01:00 <u>PM</u>
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none.		
Claims discussed: none.		
Prior art documents discussed: none.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	E GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	eparate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance f the interview, since the interview
		eta territoria.
	·	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	Applicant/Applicant's Representativ	/e Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: pointed out to applicant that the second paragraph on page 1, which appears to read "technical oils" was marked by what appears to be an ink mark. indicated the terminal disclaimer was a copy of that filed in the copending application and was not acceptable to obviate the rejection in the instant application..